Summary of Complaint	Decision (dismissal / substantiated)	Reason for Decision	Decision Date	Order	Date of Order
Allegation One: It is alleged that on or around 20 December 2016, Councillor Gregory Campbell, a Councillor and Mayor of Cloncurry Shire Council, engaged in misconduct as defined in section 176(3)(b)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 in that their conduct involved a breach of trust placed in the councillor in that their conduct was inconsistent with the local government principles in section 4(2)(a) 'transparent and effective processes, and decision-making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees'. Allegation Two: It is alleged that on 28 November 2017, Councillor Gregory Campbell, a councillor and Mayor of Cloncurry Shire Council, engaged in misconduct as defined in section 176(3)(b)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009, in that their conduct involved a breach of the trust placed in the councillor in that their conduct was inconsistent with the local government principles in section 4(2)(a) 'transparent and effective processes, and decision-making in the public interest' and section 4(2)(e) 'ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees'.	Sustained	The Tribunal has determined, on the balance of probabilities, that Allegations 1 and 2 have been sustained.	30.10.2023	The Tribunal orders, in respect of Allegations 1 and 2, that the Councillor must (within 90 days of the Councillor's receipt of this decision and reasons) attend training or counselling, at his expense, to address the councillor's conduct in accordance with section 150AR(1)(b)(iii) of the Act.	30.10.2023
It is alleged a councillor engaged in misconduct when the councillor received an allowance that the councillor was not entitled to receive	Dismissed	The OIA decided to take no further action pursuant to section 150Y(b)(iiii) of the Local Government Act 2008 [the Act] on the basis that taking further action would be an unjustifiable use of resources. The OIA was advised that the councillor made an administrative error that led to a payment being made to the councillor that the councillor was not entitled to under the Act. On recognising the error, the councillor repaid the amount in full in a timely manner and self referred their conduct to the OIA.	08.01.2023		
It is alleged a councillor engaged in misconduct when the councillor failed to declare a conflict of interest in a matter being considered by Council	Dismissed	The OIA <u>dismissed this matter</u> pursuant to section 150X(a)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 as the councillor's interest was too remote to the matter under consideration to reasonably raise a conflict of interest in the matter.	22.03.2022		
It is alleged a councillor had failed to fully disclose a declarable conflict of interest in a matter at a council meeting	Dismissed	The OIA <u>dismissed this matter</u> pursuant to section 150X(c)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 (the Act) as an unjustifiable use of resources. The councilior oid ideclare a conflict of interest relating to the entity subject to the complaint, however, however did so in a minimum form. The councillor's attention has been drawn to further guidance provided on this issue in the model meeting procedures 4.3 to inform any future declarations in relation to the same entity.	22.03.2022		

Summary of Complaint	Decision (dismissal / substantiated)	Reason for Decision	Decision Date	Order	Date of Order
The allegation is that on 15 May 2018 the Respondent Councilior of the Cloncurry Shire Council, engaged in misconduct as defined in section 176(3)[b)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009, in that the conduct involved a breach of the trust placed in the councillor, in that it was inconsistent with the local government principles in section 4(2)(a) 'transparent and effective processes and decision-making in the public interest" and section 4(2)(e) "ethical and legal behavior of councillors and local government employees", in that the Councillor did not deal with a real or perceived conflict of interest in a transparent and accountable way as required by section 173(4) of the Act	Not sustained	The Councillor Conduct Tribunal has determined on the balance of probabilities, that the allegation has not been sustained.	21.10.2021	Under s150AR, the Councillor was not found to have engaged in misconduct and accordingly Orders and recommendations are not applicable.	
The allegation that on 9 August 2019 the Councillor and Mayor of the Cloncury, Shire Council, engaged in misconduct as defined in section 1504(1)(b)() of the Local Government Act 2009, in that his conduct involved a breach of the trust placed in the councillor, either knowingly or rectlessly, in that the conduct was inconsistent with local government principles 4(2)(a) 'transparent and effective processes and decision-making in the public interest" and section 4(2)(e) being "ethical and legal behaviour of councillors and local government employees',	Sustained		21.10.2021	Having found that the Councillor engaged in misconduct, the Tribunal orders that Councillor Gregory Campbell, Mayor of the Council: 1. Make an admission of misconduct during a Council meeting within 60 days from receipt of a copy of this report and decision from the Registrar of the Tribunal (5150AR(1)(6)(1)); 2. Attend training to address the councillor's conduct (at the Councillor's expense), where such training must include a proper assessment and determination of conflicts of interest(s150AR(1)(6)(10)); 3. Pay to the local government an amount of \$500.00 within 90 days from the date of receipt of this order (\$150AR(10(b)(iv)).	21.10.2021
It was alleged that a councillor told the council's chief executive officer to deal with an industrial matter relating to a council employee in a certain way.	Dismissed	The OIA <u>dismissed this matter</u> pursuant to section 150X (a)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 as the conduct does not raise a reasonable suspicion of inappropriate conduct or misconduct. It was found that during the relevant council meeting during 'General Business, the councillor had used this opportunity to be transparent by noting an issue raised by a concerned resident.	15.06.2021		
It is alleged a Councillor has engaged in the following conduct: 1. Two (2) counts of breach of trust for failing to engage in ethical and legal behaviour 2. Three (3) counts of directing staff 3. Bullying and harassment of a Council Officer 4. Failure to declare a declarable conflict of interest 5. Two (2) counts of influencing, attempting to influence or discussing a matter with other participants in a decision, in circumstances where a declarable conflict of interest existed 6. Reprisal against a Council officer 7. Breach of the Code of Conduct for failing to respectfully engage with the community	No further action	The OIA decided to take <u>no further action</u> pursuant to section 150Y(b)(i) and 150Y(b)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 (the Act] on the basis that, after a full investigation into each allegation, the evidence obtained did not raise a reasonable suspicion of inappropriate conduct or misconduct or it was not considered a justifiable use of resources to continue to pursue the matter any further as key witnesses had provided conflicting evidence and no independent corroborating evidence was available. Capacity issues were identified in declaring and manging conflicts of interest. In the circumstances of this case it was considered that those issues would be better addressed by targeted training.	17.05.2021		
It is alleged four councillors engaged in misconduct by breaching the trust placed in them as a councillors either knowingly or recklessly, when considering an agenda item in a closed session of the council meeting on 21 July 2020. In a particular it is alleged that process set out in section 175£(6) of the Act for dealing with conflicts of interest was not followed, the decision made did not follow council officers recommendations, cost council additional monies, and was not consistent with the procurement principles.	Dismissed	The OIA <u>dismissed this complaint</u> pursuant to Section 150X (c) (iii) of the Act on the basis that further dealing with this matter would be an unjustifiable use of resources. Seven issues were identified with how all councillors procedurally dealt with this matter including non-compliance with quorum, delegation and recording requirements set out in the Act and the Local Government regulations. After an investigation the OIA considered that the best way to deal with this matter in the public interest was to focus on capacity building.	02.06.2021		

Summary of Complaint	Decision (dismissal / substantiated)	Reason for Decision	Decision Date	Order	Date of Order
It was alleged that a councillor had instructed senior council	Dismissed	The OIA dismissed this matter pursuant to section 150X (a)(ii) of the	06.07.2020		
staff to not use a particular contractor to Council due to a		Local Government Act 2009 as the conduct does not raise a reasonable			
personal grievance with the contractor		suspicion of inappropriate conduct or misconduct. The OIA conducted			
		enquiries with a senior local government employee responsible for			
		engaging contractors for Council. The staff member stated that they had			
		not been told by any councillor not to engage with the contractor.			
It is alleged a councillor acted operationally and outside their	No further action	The OIA decided to take no further action pursuant to section 150Y(b)(iii)	19.02.2020		
role as a councillor when they purchased hoses and sprinklers		of the Local Government Act 2009, as taking further action would be an			
with a council issued corporate card on 18 April 2017 and 23		unjustifiable use of resources. The purchases were low value and for			
May 2017, and approved the purchases himself, when they		legitimate council purposes. It has been recommended however that			
should have been approved by council's Chief Executive		council review current practices, card holders and credit limits to ensure			
Officer.		that they are sufficient to meet the day to day needs of council without			
		requiring the assistance of councillors.			
It is alleged that on 28 November 2017, Councillor Dane	Sustained	Not applicable		Having found that the councillor engaged in misconduct,	28.10.2020
Swalling, a Councillor of Cloncurry Shire Council, engaged in				pursuant to section 150AR(1) of the Act, the Tribunal orders	
misconduct as defined in section 176(3)(b)(ii)2 of the Local				that:	
Government Act 2009 (the Act), in that his conduct				The Tribunal orders, pursuant to s150AR(1)(b)(i) of the Act	
contravened section 173(4) of the Act, as it then was, to deal				(being an order substantially the same as an order that could	
with the real or perceived conflict of interest in a transparent				have been made under the former section 180), that:	
and accountable way.				Pursuant to s150AR(1)(b)(i) of the Act, the Respondent	
Particulars of the alleged conduct which could amount to				make a public admission that he engaged in misconduct,	
misconduct are as follows:				within 90 days of the date that a copy of this decision and	
a. On 28 November 2017, an Ordinary Council meeting was				orders are given to him by the Registrar.	
held. One of the matters for consideration was whether to					
adopt the amended Procurement Policy COR1004 version 4.					
b. The key amendment to the Procurement Policy, which was					
adopted at the meeting was a change to the definition of					
"Local Supplier". The policy involved the creation of two tiers					
of local supplier, being Local Supplier Level 1 and Local					
Supplier Level 2. A business qualifying as a Local Supplier Level					
1 was eligible to a 20% local advantage whereas a business					
qualifying as a Local Supplier					
Level 2 was eligible to a 10% local advantage. The change to					
the policy enabled businesses that did not meet the					
requirements of the Local Supplier definition under the					
previous version of the policy, to access half the local supplier					
advantage.					
- The seather was not an additional business and the					
c. The matter was not an ordinary business matter. d. Councillor Swalling attended the general Council meeting.					
e. Councillor Swalling attended the general Council meeting.					
company, Cloncurry Plumbing Pty Ltd, which has supplied					
services to Council between 2004 and 2017.					
f. Councillor Swalling's personal interest in the matter did not					
arise merely because of the circumstances specified in section					
173(3) of the Act.					
g. Councillor Swalling's personal interest in the matter could					
be deemed as a real conflict of interest or perceived conflict					
of interest because under the Procurement Policy, Cloncurry					
Plumbing Pty Ltd, qualified as a Local Supplier Level 1.					
h. As a Local Supplier Level 1, Cloncurry Plumbing Pty Ltd had					
an advantage over Local Suppliers Level 2 and over non-local					
suppliers.					
i. At the Ordinary Council Meeting on 28 November 2017,					
Councillor Swalling did not deal with the real conflict of					
interest or perceived conflict of interest in a transparent and					
accountable way.					

Summary of Complaint	Decision (dismissal / substantiated) Dismissed	Reason for Decision The OIA dismissed this matter pursuant to costion 150V (aViii) of the	Decision Date	Order Date of Order
t was alleged that a Councillor had placed an email sent to council about the councillor's integrity into the council meeting minutes for public scrutiny.		The OIA dismissed this matter pursuant to section 150X (a)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 as the conduct does not raise a reasonable suspicion of inappropriate conduct or misconduct. It was found that during the relevant council meeting during 'General Business, the councillor had used this opportunity to be transparent by noting an issue raised by a concerned resident.	24.08.2020	
t is alleged a councillor acted operationally and outside their ole as a councillor when they purchased hoses and sprinklers with a council issued corporate card on 18 April 2017 and 23 May 2017, and approved the purchases himself, when they hould have been approved by council's Chief Executive Officer.		The OIA decided to take no further action pursuant to section 150Y(b)(iii) of the Local Government Act 2009, as taking further action would be an unjustifiable use of resources. The purchases were low value and for legitimate council purposes. It has been recommended however that council review current practices, card holders and credit limits to ensure that they are sufficient to meet the day to day needs of council without requiring the assistance of councillors.	19.02.2020	
t was alleged that a councillor had a material personal natrest in council matters discussed concerning John Flynn Place	Dismissed	The OlA decided to <u>take no further action</u> pursuant to section 150Y(b)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009, on the basis that there was insufficient information to properly investigate the conduct or form an opinion about whether the conduct is, or may be, inappropriate conduct or misconduct. An aspect of this complain thad also been previously fully investigated and did not raise a reasonable suspicion of misconduct.	17.02.2020	
t was alleged that two councillors commented on an official council Facebook site and reacted to public comment on the same site in a manner that could amount to inappropriate conduct. The	Dismissed	The OIA decided to take no further action pursuant to Section 150Y(b)(i) of the Local Government Act 2009 on the basis that the conduct did not raise a reasonable suspicion of inappropriate conduct or misconduct. This decision was made on the basis that the comments made by members of the public on Facebook were reasonable and the language used in the posts in question was not abusive, threatening or offensive.	20.12.2020	
t is alleged the councillor failed to declare a conflict of nterest during ordinary business meetings. The interests being: - a business relationship with a council contractor - a family member being awarded council contracts Contrary to section 175E(2) of the Local Government Act 2009	Dismissed	Following an investigation, the OIA decided to take no further action in relation to the alleged interests pursuant to S150Y(b)(j) of the Act in that the conduct did not raise a reasonable suspicion of inappropriate conduct or misconduct. There was no evidence of any business relationship between the councillor and the alleged business interest that would reasonably give rise to a conflict of interest. The family member had worked for council approval in Council meetings. No matters involving the family member had been discussed at any council meeting. As such there was no requirement under the Act for the councillor to declare a conflict of interest.	22.11.2019	
It is alleged the councillor failed to update their register of interests with interests in a property and directorship of a business in the approved form within 30 days after the interest arose or the change occurred. Contrary to section 171B(2) of the Local Government Act 2009		The OIA decided to take no further action pursuant to section 150Y(b)(ii) of the Local Government Act 2009 (the Act) in relation to the property interest on the basis that the property was recorded on the councillor's related-party register. The OIA decided to take no further action relation to the business interest pursuant to section 150Y(b)(iii) of the Act as there was insufficient information to form an opinion on whether the conduct is or may be inappropriate conduct or misconduct and no reasonable enquiries could be further made to ascertain the relevant facts due to administrative issues. Those issues were raised by the OIA.	22.11.2019	

Summary of Complaint	Decision (dismissal / substantiated)	Reason for Decision	Decision Date	Order	Date of Order
It is alleged that a councillor failed to	Dismissed	The OIA resolved to take no further action pursuant to section 150Y(b)(i)	24.09.2020	Orac.	Sake of Order
ensure the accuracy of the minutes of		of the Local Government Act 2009 on the basis that the councillor's			
council meetings.		conduct did not constitute inappropriate conduct.			
		The OIA established that the confirmed/adopted meeting minutes had			
		not been changed, it was the draft minutes which were changed and			
It is alleged that a councillor took reprisal action against the	Dismissed	The OIA decided to take no further action on the complaint pursuant to	21.06.2019		
complainant.	Distrissed	Section 150Y(b)(i) on the basis that the conduct does not constitute	21.00.2015		
complainant.		inappropriate conduct or misconduct. There was no evidence to raise a			
		reasonable suspicion of reprisal action having been taken by the subject			
		councillor.			
It was alleged that a Councillor failed to declare a Conflict of	Take no further action	Following an investigation by the OIA including a review of council	03.09.2019		
Interest when discussing and voting on a matter during an	Take no further action	minutes and the submission of a company identified in the alleged	03.03.2013		
ordinary council meeting.		conflict, there was no information to indicate the councillor had a real or			
ordinary council meeting.		perceived conflict of interest.			
		The OIA delegate made the decision to take no further action pursuant to			1
		S150Y (b)(i) of the Local Government Act 2009 in that the conduct does			
		not constitute inappropriate conduct or misconduct as defined by the			
		Act.			
		, cc.			
It is alleged that a Councillor uses the Council's media officers	Take no further action	The OIA decided to take no further action on the basis that the conduct	21.05.2019		
to "push their own agenda", resulting in one sided posts on		does not constitute inappropriate conduct or misconduct. Council staff			
the Council's Facebook page.		indicated that they do not receive direction or requests from any			
		Councillors for content to be posted on the council Facebook page.			
The OIA raised an own motion complaint in relation to	Dismissed	The OIA delegate made the decision to dismiss the complaint on the basis	05.07.2019		
allegations against Councillor 1 and Councillor 2. The		that taking further action would be an unjustifiable use of resources.			
allegations were that the decision made by Councillor 1 and					
Councillor 2 not to grant Councillor 3 a leave of absence to					
attend a Council meeting was vindictive and was done so to					
seek retribution against Councillor 3.					
It was further alleged that Councillor 1 and Councillor 2 failed					
to show respect by bullying and harassing Councillor 3 which					
It was alleged that a councillor had:	Take no further action	The OIA decided to take no further action pursuant to section 150Y(b) (i)	26.08.2020		
Failed to adequately deal with a conflict of interest at a		and (iii) of the Local Government Act 2009 [the Act] on the basis that the			
council meeting on 4 September 2018.		conduct does not raise a reasonable suspicion of inappropriate conduct			
Not honestly or impartially performed their functions as a		or misconduct and taking further action would be an unjustifiable use of			
councillor having contacted tenderers in relation to a council		resources.			
contract while the matter was under consideration.		Investigations did not support that misconduct or inappropriate conduct			
Breached the trust placed in them as a Councillor by engaging		as defined under the act had occurred concerning the councillors			
in behaviour towards a council employee that was bullying or		behaviour towards council employees or contact with a tenderer during a			
harassing.		tender process.			
		It was not considered a justifiable use of resources to continue			
		investigation in relation to the failure to adequately deal with a conflict of			
		interest when there had been failures in council meeting procedure that			
		contributed.			
		The state of the s			

Cloncurry Shire Council - Councillor Conduct Register

Updated as at 22/11/2023

Summary of Complaint	Decision (dismissal / substantiated)	Reason for Decision	Decision Date	Order	Date of Order
The complaint raised allegations against two councillors in	Take no further action	The OIA decided to take no further action pursuant to section 150Y(b)(i)	06.01.2020		
relation to their participation in charitable activities without		of the Local Government Act 2009 [the Act] on the basis that the conduct			
inviting all other councillors to participate and without a		does not raise a reasonable suspicion of inappropriate conduct or			
Council policy or resolution in relation to the charitable		misconduct. There was no suggestion of Council funds being spent in			
activities.		purchasing items in relation to the charitable activity and in the absence			
		of any Council policy it is equally open to other Councillors to participate			
		in charitable activities which are not funded by Council.			
It was alleged that a councillor gave directions to council staff	Dismissed	Following an investigation by the OIA including submissions from council	20.09.2019		
to make changes to the design of a council approved project.		staff and contractors involved in the project, no information was provided			
The OIA delegate made the decision to take no further action		to indicate any direction was made to either council staff or external			
pursuant to S150Y(b)(i) of the Local Government Act 2009		contractors to make changes to the project.			
(the Act) in that it does not constitute inappropriate conduct					
or misconduct as defined by the Act.					
Acted in a vindictive manner and sought retribution by voting	OIA issued letter that matter will be referred to CSC to		12.02.2019		
against Complainants leave of absence on 18 October 2018	deal with				
Calls and Text message " have you done Qantas training'	Mayor determined a breach of the Acceptable Requests		24.04.2019		
or the state of th	Guidelines COR1024				
It is alleged Cr engaged in misconduct when he failed to	Dismissed	The majority of the Panel decided, on the balance of probabilities, that	07.05.2018		
declare a Material Personal Interest (MPI) in relation to a		the allegation that Councillor McGee engaged in misconduct when he			
Local Government Procurement Policy that provided 20%		failed to declare a Material Personal Interest (MPI) in relation to a Local			
default weighting for local businesses over their competitors.		Government Procurement Policy that provided 20% default weighting for			
		local businesses over their competitors is NOT sustained.			
It is alleged Cr engaged in misconduct when he failed to	Dismissed	The majority of the Panel decided, on the balance of probabilities, that	07.05.2018		
declare a Conflict of Interest (COI) in relation to a Local		the allegation that Councillor Swalling engaged in misconduct when he			
Government Procurement Policy that provided 20% default		failed to declare a Conflict of Interest (COI) in relation to a Local			
weighting for local businesses over their competitors.		Government Procurement Policy that provided 20% default weighting for			
		local businesses over their competitors is NOT sustained.			
		·			